

REMARKS

Claims 30-33, 35, and 37-46 are now pending in the application. Claims 1-29, 34, and 36 have been canceled. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection(s) in view of the remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 30-33 and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner alleges that the limitation "mathematical function" as stated in independent claims 30 and 44 is indefinite and does not point out to any particular mathematical function. Applicant disputes this characterization and contends that use of the term "mathematical function" is definite. First, "mathematical function" is a term that has definition and meaning to someone skilled in the art. It is not necessary to specify a particular mathematical function to make this term definite as it has meaning by itself. Second, it is an advantage and purpose of the invention that a user of a system comprising the invention could define their own decision model in a form comprising a mathematical function. This is an advantage that provides for customized defining of the decision models that lead to trading decisions. Therefore, use of the term "mathematical function" is definite in meaning as required pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Further, attached as Exhibit A is a printout from the USPTO website showing in a search result that 638 patents are identified as containing the term "mathematical function" in a claim. This includes, for example, U.S. 7,016,080 where in independent claims 21 and 36 of that patent the term "mathematical function" is used without pointing out any particular mathematical function. A brief review of several other patents also identify independent claims containing the term "mathematical function" without pointing to any particular mathematical function. Therefore, the term mathematical function is not now, nor has it been, determined to be indefinite by the USPTO. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Claims 35 and 37-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner alleges that use of the phrase "and/or" renders the claims indefinite because, it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Applicant disagrees with characterizing "and/or" as indefinite since it is widely used in allowed patent claims. However, pursuant to the Examiner's instruction, amendments have been made to claims 35 and 37 to remove reference to the phrase "and/or." Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and removal of this rejection.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 30 and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Lupien et al. (U.S. 5,845,266) in view of "CyberTrader - Trader's Workstation - An Integrated Trading Environment". Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as allegedly being upatentable over Lupien and CyberCorp, as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of Tertitski et al. (US 6,493,689) and Kane (US 6,317,728). Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as allegedly being upatentable over Lupien in view of CyberTrader, Kane and Buist (US 6,408,282). Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as allegedly being upatentable over Lupien in view of CyberTrader and Buist. Claims 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as allegedly being upatentable over Lupien, CyberTrader and Buist as applied to claim 37 above and further in view of Kane.

A. Applicant's 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 Declaration

Attached is a Declaration provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 for establishing a conception of the claimed invention in the United States prior to February 1, 2000. This date is the earliest publication date of the CyberCorp/CyberTrader reference according to a copyright notice with a date of "02/2000" appearing on each page of this reference. Therefore, the CyberCorp/CyberTrader reference is not available as a prior art reference under 35 U.S.C § 103(a). Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 30-33, 35 and 37-46 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

B. The Claims are Distinguishable from All Cited References Including the CyberCorp Reference

Adding the CyberCorp reference as an addition to the previously cited references in earlier office actions does not provide a *prima facie* basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Each of the cited references in the Office Action specifically including the CyberCorp reference does not either individually or in combination with the other references, teach or suggest all of the limitations of the rejected claims. There are several distinguishing features between the claims and the CyberCorp reference including, but not limited to, the fact that CyberCorp does not teach or suggest a system for buying and selling securities based upon a user defined decision model. For this reason and others, the CyberCorp reference is distinguishable. Further, for all of the reasons stated in the prior responses to office actions, and including the appeal, all of which are incorporated herein by reference, none of the other cited references teach or suggest the system as claimed. Therefore, irrespective of Applicant's declaration, the claims are distinguishable from the cited references. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejections of claims 30-33, 35 and 37-46 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).


CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt

and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 3/31/2006

By: 
Dean W. Amburn
Reg. No. 46,517

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

USPTO PATENT FULL-TEXT AND IMAGE DATABASE[Home](#)[Quick](#)[Advanced](#)[Pat Num](#)[Help](#)[Next List](#)[Bottom](#)[View Cart](#)*Searching 1976 to present...***Results of Search in 1976 to present db for:****ACLM/"mathematical function": 638 patents.***Hits 1 through 50 out of 638*[Next 50 Hits](#)[Jump To](#)[Refine Search](#)

ACLM/"mathematical function"

PAT.
NO. Title

- 1 [7,020,847](#) **T** [Search and navigation device for hypertext documents](#)
- 2 [7,016,713](#) **T** [Non-invasive determination of direction and rate of change of an analyte](#)
- 3 [7,016,080](#) **T** [Method and system for improving scanned image detail](#)
- 4 [7,012,495](#) **T** [Switchable permanent magnetic device](#)
- 5 [7,010,486](#) **T** [Speech recognition system, training arrangement and method of calculating iteration values for free parameters of a maximum-entropy speech model](#)
- 6 [7,006,732](#) **T** [Polarization splitting grating couplers](#)
- 7 [7,004,370](#) **T** [Device and method for determining parameters of a welding system](#)
- 8 [6,993,138](#) **T** [Spatial key trees for key management in wireless environments](#)
- 9 [6,986,688](#) **T** [Low-cost means for estimating and controlling speed of electric watercraft and trolling motors](#)
- 10 [6,985,559](#) **T** [Method and apparatus for estimating quality in a telephonic voice connection](#)
- 11 [H2,142](#) **T** [Method for measuring the concentration of bodymaker lubricant in bodymaker coolant](#)
- 12 [6,974,705](#) **T** [Method for determining the concentration of gas in a liquid](#)
- 13 [6,973,839](#) **T** [Electromagnetic flow meter having processing means resolving output data into a non-flow waveform component](#)
- 14 [6,972,868](#) **T** [Image data compression method](#)
- 15 [6,972,542](#) **T** [System and method for battery verification](#)
- 16 [6,971,971](#) **T** [Method and system for an adaptation of an engagement of an automated clutch](#)
- 17 [6,970,026](#) **T** [Power-on reset circuit and method for low-voltage chips](#)
- 18 [6,967,746](#) **T** [System for combining device color profiles](#)

- 19 6,962,530 **T** Authentication in a secure computerized gaming system
 - 20 6,954,054 **T** Total feed forward switching power supply control
 - 21 6,952,627 **T** Method and apparatus for fabricating light management substrates
 - 22 6,952,455 **T** Adaptive antenna method and apparatus
 - 23 6,947,790 **T** Neurocognitive function EEG measurement method and system
 - 24 6,946,540 **T** Method of measuring extent of curing of compacted poly(arylene sulfide)
 - 25 6,944,949 **T** Method of making a motor vehicle wheel arch, and a wheel arch obtained by implementing the method
 - 26 6,944,564 **T** Method for the automatic calibration-only, or calibration and qualification simultaneously of a non-contact probe
 - 27 6,943,883 **T** Apparatus, sample cuvette and method for optical measurements
 - 28 6,941,809 **T** Method for temperature-compensated accelerometer measurement, with at least a device comprising two vibrating resonators
 - 29 6,936,476 **T** Point of care diagnostic systems
 - 30 6,936,157 **T** Interference correction of additives concentration measurements in metal electroplating solutions
 - 31 6,922,712 **T** Apparatus, methods, and computer program products for accurately determining the coefficients of a function
 - 32 6,922,605 **T** Automated fluid-jet tilt compensation for lag and taper
 - 33 6,921,168 **T** Translating contact lens having a ramped ridge
 - 34 6,912,659 **T** Methods and device for digitally signing data
 - 35 6,898,469 **T** Surveillance system and method having parameter estimation and operating mode partitioning
 - 36 6,892,874 **T** Method and device for reducing the polygon effect in the reversing area of pedestrian conveyor system
 - 37 6,892,155 **T** Method for the rapid estimation of figures of merit for multiple devices based on nonlinear modeling
 - 38 6,891,474 **T** Electromagnetic identification label for anti-counterfeiting, authentication, and tamper-protection
 - 39 6,885,933 **T** Signal correcting device
 - 40 6,885,886 **T** Method and system for visualizing a body volume and computer program product
 - 41 6,882,314 **T** Carrier-based differential-position determination using multi-frequency pseudolites
 - 42 6,879,871 **T** Advanced process control for a manufacturing process of a plurality of products with minimized control degradation after re-initialization upon occurrence of reset events
 - 43 6,879,327 **T** Creating gradient fills
 - 44 6,877,949 **T** Pumping stage for a vacuum pump
 - 45 6,876,961 **T** Electronic system modeling using actual and approximated system properties
 - 46 6,873,993 **T** Indexing method and apparatus
 - 47 6,873,747 **T** Method for measurement of pitch in metrology and imaging systems
 - 48 6,873,338 **T** Anti-moire pixel array having multiple pixel types
 - 49 6,868,534 **T** Circuit modeling
 - 50 6,867,770 **T** Systems and methods for voxel warping
-

| | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Next List | Top | View Cart | |
| Home | Quick | Advanced | Pat Num | Help |